

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/051,263 01/18/2002 Keith E. Moore 10003897 7654 7590 11/26/2003 **EXAMINER** HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY JAKETIC, BRYAN J Intellectual Property Administration ART UNIT P.O. Box 272400 PAPER NUMBER Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 3627

DATE MAILED: 11/26/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	) hàs				$\mathcal{A}$	
<del></del>		Applicat	ion No.	plicant(s)		
	ā		263	MOORE, KEITH E		
Office Action Summary		Examine	or	Art Unit		
		Bryan Ja	aketic	3627		
	The MAILING DATE of this communicated Popular	ation appears on th	e cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	iress	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any rearner Status	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutive to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after or department. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e- ication. days, a reply within the sta- tory period will apply and v II, by statute, cause the ap- r the mailing date of this c	vent, however, may a stutory minimum of thi will expire SIX (6) MO plication to become A ommunication, even i	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this col BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	filed on <u>02 October 2003</u> .				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	on is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
		y the Examiner. N	ote the attache	d Office Action or form PT	<b>D-152</b> .	
12)☐ a)[ * S 13)☐ A si 37 a) 14)☐ A re	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for the case of a claim for the note a specific reference was included in the foreign language.  7 CFR 1.78.  1 The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for the foreign language.	ocuments have been the priority documents have been the priority document all Bureau (PCT Rufor a list of the cert domestic priority un the first sentence uage provisional and domestic priority under the	en received. en received in A ents have beer le 17.2(a)). dified copies not under 35 U.S.C. e of the specific pplication has bunder 35 U.S.C.	Application No In received in this National States are received.  § 119(e) (to a provisional cation or in an Application Deen received.	application) Data Sheet.	
Attachment						
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	0-948) er No(s) <u>2.3</u> .		Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s Informal Patent Application (PTO-		

Application/Control Number: 10/051,263

.. Art Unit: 3627

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 8, 10-13, 15, 16, and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Robertson. Robertson discloses a system for electronic commerce comprising a server (60) having a processor (62) and storage for a custom catalog (64). The server is connected to the Internet (40). The custom catalog lists products using product identification having recipient information encoded therein (see Fig. 2, and col. 9, line 55 through col. 10, line 35). Robertson further discloses a registry comprising database structures (see Fig. 11) and instructions for allowing a plurality of participants controlled access to the database and to each other through a participant computer (50; see Abstract and col. 14, lines 40-65). Robertson also teaches that customer may suggest new products to add to the custom catalog (see col. 10, lines 42-44). Roberts also discloses a chat room for a recipient and giver (see col. 20, line 65 through col. 21, line 15).

Application/Control Number: 10/051,263

Art Unit: 3627

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 7, 9, 14, and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robertson. Robertson discloses all of the limitations detailed in paragraph 2 of this Office Action. Robertson does not teach the use of a single code sequence. However, single code sequences are common in the art, and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a single code sequence for efficiency.

Robertson does not teach the use of a difference catalog. However, it is common in the art to display new listings only. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a difference catalog with the invention of Robertson, so that users will only see items of interest.

Robertson does not teach means for printing the custom catalog. However, printing means are common in the art, and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ printing means with the invention of Robertson to allow a user to have a hard copy of the catalog to view away from a computer.

Robertson does not teach the step of tracking gift credits. However, gift credits are common in the art, and it is common to track them. It would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 10/051,263

Art Unit: 3627

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the step of tracking gift credits with the invention of Robertson to allow users to redeem gift credits.

Robertson does not teach the step of reallocating price credits for exchanging products. However, it is common in the art to reallocate price credits for exchanging products. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the step of reallocating price credits for exchanging products to provide good customer service.

Robertson does not teach the acceptance of a partial purchase of an item.

However, it is common in the art to partially purchase an item from a registry. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to accept partial purchase of an item to meet customer needs.

5. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robertson as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Underwood et al. Robertson discloses all of the limitations detailed in the preceding paragraphs. Robertson does not disclose a web page catalog template. Underwood et al disclose an array of web page templates that a user may choose from (see Fig. 48; and col. 30 line 53 through col. 31, line 15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the teachings of Underwood et al with the invention of Robertson to allow a user to select a template to design the web page catalog to make the process faster.

Neither Robertson nor Underwood et al teach regular pricing and special pricing.

However, regular and special pricing are common in the art, and it would have been

Page 5

Art Unit: 3627

· · ·

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ both regular and special pricing on items to provide incentives to customers.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Blinn et al disclose a registry.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan Jaketic whose telephone number is (703) 308-0134. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703)308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

bi

11/18/03